

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,054	09/11/2003		Shigeru Yamane	MAT-8260US1	7291
23122	7590	03/04/2005		EXAMINER	
RATNERP			DIXON, MERRICK L		
P O BOX 98 VALLEY FO	_	A 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1774	
				DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005	DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			_ (1)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/660,054	YAMANE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Merrick Dixon	1774				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s			
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUN	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 September 2003.					
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 37,40,41,44,47,53,59,66,69,70,73 and 76 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 37,40,41,44,47,53,59,66,69,70,73 and 76 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exal	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	• • •	• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed Certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer	Application No	e			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a						
		$'\mathcal{V}$	hey her				
	w >		MERRICK DIXON				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intension	PRIMARY EXAMINER Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St er No(s)/Mail Date <u>see <i>office action.</i>.</u>	3/08) 5) \(\bigcap \) Notice of (Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/660,054

Art Unit: 1774

15

Per Amdt. Filed 9-11-2003, claims 37,40,41,44,47,53,59,66,69,70,73 and 76 are pending in the instant case.

The instant office action contains Initialed, signed PTO-1449.

16

Claims 37,40,41,44,47,53 and 59 are product by process claims.

Product by process claims are based on product itself eventhough such claims recite process steps and thus the products in such claims are unpatentable if they are the same as, or, obvious from, the product of the prior art, even if the prior product was made by different processes. In re Thorpe et al, 227 USPQ 964(1985); In re Marosi 218 USPQ 964(1985). See MPEP 706.03(e).

17

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

18

Claims 37,40,41,44,47,53, 59, 66 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakatani et al(US 6096411).

The cited reference teaches the claimed invention comprising a clad board- col 2, lines

23-64; see entire reference.

Art Unit: 1774

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

21

over Nakatani et al(US 6096411) alone. The cited reference substantially teaches the claimed invention- col 7, lines 54-62; col 8, lines 9-20; col 7, lines 64-66; col 9, lines 9-19; col 16, lines 11-20. while the reference is silent in regards to having the additional layer material be same as the impregnating resin material, it is submitted that the cited reference's would indeed be constructed as claimed, in the absence of unexpected results. This is clearly seen in col 8, lines 55-64. here the paste impregnates the substrate and forms on either sides thereof. Concerning claims 69,70 and 73, likewise, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to

manipulate the respective densities of the material via manipulation/working the

respective layers/substrate- col 6, lines 11-19.

Claims 66,69,70,73 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

22

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). **NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's**

Application/Control Number: 10/660,054 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless

otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 703-872-9306.

Information about the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR**

or Public PAIR. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic

Business Center at 866-217-9197.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner

Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern

time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700